

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	1		1			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/040,864	12/28/2001	Paul F.L. Weindorf	10541/502 (V200-0772)	10541/502 (V200-0772) 2245		
29074	7590 06/11/2003					
	FER GILSON & LIO	EXAMINER				
P.O. BOX 103 CHICAGO, IL			DIAZ, J	DIAZ, JOSE R		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
·			2815	-		
			DATE MAILED: 06/11/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				(M)			
	Application N	0.	Applicant(s)				
	10/040,864		WEINDORF ET A	L.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	José R Díaz		2815				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 L	December 2001	.•					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non	-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-54</u> is/are pending in the application	١.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from conside	eration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-54 are subject to restriction and/or	election require	ment.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			oved by the Examin	er.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).				
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been re	ceived.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been re	ceived in Applicati	on No				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) [	-	y (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT				

Art Unit: 2815

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- Species I: an LCD having a non-white light emitting diode (126), as shown in Figure 2.
- Species II: an LCD having a white light emitting diode (526), as shown in Figure 5.

If Species I is elected, Applicant is further requires to elect from the following species:

- Species III: an LCD having a non-white light emitting diode (126) and stiffeners (122), as shown in Figure 2.
- Species IV: an LCD having a non-white light emitting diode (726) and an Enhanced specular reflector or ESR (724) having a planar surface forming an angle of about 45° with the top surface of the LED (726), as shown in Figure 7.
- Species VI: an LCD having a non-white light emitting diode (826) and an Enhanced specular reflector or ESR (824), wherein the LED (826) and the ESR (824) have a side reflective configuration, as shown in Figure 8.

If Species II is elected, Applicant is further requires to elect from the following species:

Art Unit: 2815

 Species VII: an LCD having a white light emitting diode (526) and an Enhanced specular reflector or ESR (524), wherein the LED (526) and the ESR (524) have a side reflective configuration, as shown in Figure 5.

• Species VIII: an LCD having a white light emitting diode (626) and an Enhanced specular reflector or ESR (624) having a planar surface forming an angle of about 45° with the top surface of the LED (626), as shown in Figure 6.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 43 generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence

Art Unit: 2815

now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José R Díaz whose telephone number is (703) 308-6078. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:00 Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on (703) 308-1690. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is

Art Unit: 2815

assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 746-3891 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

JRD June 8, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800